



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/404,597	09/24/1999	JOHN RAUSER	07744.0009	8566

28393 7590 01/28/2008
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

WASSUM, LUKE S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2167

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/28/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/404,597	RAUSER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke S. Wassum	2167	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Primary Examiner Luke S. Wassum. (3) Attorney Jonathan M. Strang (202) 772-8757.
 (2) Attorney Lori A. Gordon (202) 772-8862. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Aggarwal et al. (U.S. Patent 6,487,539); Spiegel et al. (U.S. Patent 6,629,079).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The attorneys argued that the limitation of determining the order for invocation of the constraint filter and recommendation filter distinguished over the Valentin reference. The examiner believes that the reference teaches the limitation, and that query plan optimization, which is well known in the art, is concerned with the claimed order determination, wherein the filters are applied in an order such that the greatest number of records are filtered first, so that the subsequent filters operate on fewer records.

The attorneys discussed the fact that the Spiegel et al. reference does not teach the details of the binding of values to free variables. The examiner responded that he believes that (subject to further review of the reference) the binding of the variables is inherently taught by the reference.

The attorneys will review the claims and specification, and submit a response to the pending Office action.